



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/867,124	05/29/2001	Kenichi Takahashi	09792909-5028	6485

26263 7590 01/02/2004

SONNENSCHN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP  
P.O. BOX 061080  
WACKER DRIVE STATION, SEARS TOWER  
CHICAGO, IL 60606-1080

EXAMINER

LEWIS, DAVID LEE

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2673

8

DATE MAILED: 01/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/867,124

Applicant(s)

TAKAHASHI ET AL.

Examiner

David L Lewis

Art Unit

2673

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

## Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 October 2003.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:  
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  
\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

## Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) \_\_\_\_\_. 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

## DETAILED ACTION

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102***

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. **Claims 1-8 and 10-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ulrich et al. (6369789 B1).**
3. **As in claim 1, Ulrich et al. teaches of a liquid crystal display drive method, figure 8,** said liquid crystal display comprising: a first electrode located on a first substrate, **figure 1 item 5**, and a second electrode located on a second substrate, **figure 1 item 6**, said substrates facing each other with liquid crystal filled therebetween, **figure 1 item 7**, wherein pictures are displayed by means of the voltage signal impressed between said first and second electrodes to select one state of incident light: either reflected or non-reflected or either transmitted or non-transmitted or either polarized or non-polarized or twisted or non-twisted, **column 7 line 65- column 8 line 5, column 8 lines 18-35**, and wherein drive voltage waveform consisting of a display signal period, **figure 8 item 88**,

and a control signal period irrelevant to display is used within a given drive time, **figure 8 item 90**, or a period of plural frames or one frame, **figures 8 and 24b**, wherein said drive voltage waveform consists of a predetermined number of bit planes and the control period occurs during at least one preselected bit plane within the predetermined number of bit planes, **figure 8 item "select period"**. Wherein the display device as illustrated in figures 1 and 2 is driven as shown in figures 8 and 24b. Figure 8 illustrates a strobe pulse 88 which is followed by a negative polaritied TRIFLE pulse 90. Said display pulse corresponding to said strobe during a select period, and said TRIFLE pulse corresponding to the claimed control pulse, occurring after the selection period. Said pit planes are equivalent to said subframes within the complete frame of 2048 slots.

4. **As in claim 2**, Ulrich et al. teaches of, wherein, in said display signal period, the drive voltage waveform for selecting the state of incident light is a combination of positive voltage signals, negative voltage signals and/or 0 V signal, figure 8 items 88, 90, 76, 78, 80, during the selection period; the absolute values of these voltages or their signal widths are different and thus the waveform has an imbalance in positive and negative charges, figure 8 items 88, 90, 76, 78, 80, column 11 lines 30-45. **As in claim 3**, Ulrich et al. teaches of , wherein, in said control signal period, a reset voltage which has the polarity opposite to that of drive voltage waveform in said display signal period or is continuous DC voltage is impressed to suppress generation of internal DC voltage, caused by ionic polarization in the liquid crystal, figure 8 item 90. **As in claims 4, 13, and 18**, Ulrich et al. teaches of, wherein the time ratio of said control signal period to the

Art Unit: 2673

whole drive voltage waveform period is 5% or more and less than 50%, figure 8 item 86 and figure 8 item 90, figure 12c. **As in claims 5, 11, and 19**, Ulrich et al. teaches of, **wherein a detection circuit** for detecting an electric charge imbalance which occurs within a given time or a period of plural frames or one frame is used to determine the voltage polarity and level in said control signal period, thereby suppressing generation of internal DC voltage caused by ionic polarization in the liquid crystal, **column 11 lines 45-65**, wherein said detection circuit for detecting an electric charge imbalance is inherently anticipated by said reverse switching threshold means.

5. **As in claim 6**, Ulrich et al. teaches of a liquid crystal display drive method, said liquid crystal display comprising: a first electrode located on a first substrate, **figure 1 item 5**, and a second electrode located on a second substrate, **figure 1 item 6**, said substrates facing each other with liquid crystal filled therebetween, **figure 1 item 7**, wherein pictures are displayed by means of the voltage signal impressed between said first and second electrodes to select one state of incident light: either reflected or non-reflected or either transmitted or non-transmitted or either polarized or non-polarized or twisted or non-twisted, **column 7 line 65- column 8 line 5, column 8 lines 18-35**, wherein drive voltage waveform consisting of a display signal period, **figure 8 item 88**, and a control signal period irrelevant to display is used within a given drive time, **figure 8 item 90**, or a period of plural frames or one frame, **wherein** said drive voltage waveform consists of a predetermined number of bit planes and the control period occurs during at least one preselected bit plane within the predetermined number of bit planes, **figure 8 item**

“select period”; and wherein one of the two states, either on or off, is chosen to select the state of incident light in said display signal period, **figures 8 and 24b**. Wherein the display device as illustrated in figures 1 and 2 is driven as shown in figures 8 and 24b. Figure 8 illustrates a strobe pulse 88 which is followed by a negative polaritied TRIFLE pulse 90. Said display pulse corresponding to said strobe during a select period, and said TRIFLE pulse corresponding to the claimed control pulse, occurring after the selection period. Said pit planes are equivalent to said subframes within the complete frame of 2048 slots.

6. **As in claim 7, Ulrich et al. teaches of** wherein, when one of the two states, On or Off, which is longer than the response time of said liquid crystal, is to be held, the next selection signal is applied after application of voltage signal while the desired transmittance or reflectance is being maintained, figure 8 item 84. **As in claim 8, Ulrich et al. teaches of** wherein, wherein said liquid crystal is a ferroelectric liquid crystal or antiferroelectric liquid crystal, column 1 lines 5-7. **As in claim 10, Ulrich et al. teaches of** wherein, wherein, in said display signal period, drive voltage waveform as a combination of positive and negative voltages and/or 0 V is used as the voltage signal to select one of the two states, either on or off, figure 8 items 76, 78, 80, 88. **As in claim 11, Ulrich et al. teaches of**, wherein said drive voltage waveform is a combination of positive voltage and negative voltage signals and/or 0 V signal, figure 8 items 76, 78, 80, 88; the absolute values of these voltages or their signal widths are different, figure 8 items 76, 78, 80, 88; and the waveform has an imbalance in positive and negative

charges, figure 8 items 76, 78, 80, 88. **As in claim 12, Ulrich et al. teaches of**, wherein, in said control signal period, a reset voltage which has the polarity opposite to that of drive voltage waveform in said display signal period or is continuous DC voltage is impressed to suppress generation of internal DC voltage, caused by ionic polarization in the liquid crystal, figure 8 items 90. **As in claim 15, Ulrich et al. teaches of**, wherein, in said display signal period, the voltage signal for selecting one of the two states, either On or Off, is a combination of positive voltages only and the voltage signal for selecting the other state is a combination of negative voltages only and such drive voltage wave form issued, figure 8 item 88 and 90. **As in claim 16, Ulrich et al. teaches of**, wherein said drive voltage waveform is a combination of positive voltage and negative voltage signals and/or 0 V signal, figure 8 items 76, 78, 80, 88; the absolute values of these voltages or their signal widths are different, figure 8 items 76, 78, 80, 88; and as the impressed voltage waveform in the period of selection of one state, the waveform has an imbalance in positive and negative charges, figure 8 items 76, 78, 80, 88. **As in claim 17, Ulrich et al. teaches of**, wherein, in said control signal period, a reset voltage which has the polarity opposite to that of drive voltage waveform in said display signal period or is continuous DC voltage is impressed to suppress generation of internal DC voltage, caused by ionic polarization in the liquid crystal, figure 8 item 90.

***Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103***

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. **Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ulrich et al. (6369789 B1) in view of Sato et al. (6417828 B1) and Ogiwara et al. (6057878).**
9. **As in claim 9, Ulrich et al. teaches of the invention as found in claim 6, however Ulrich is silent as to wherein, wherein the liquid crystal display is a reflective liquid crystal display which comprises a silicone back plane and a ferroelectric liquid crystal. Sato et al. teaches of the well known reflective type display with said drive method, figure 5, column 5 lines 5--60. Ogiwara et al. teaches of said silicone back plane with a ferroelectric display of the reflective type, figure 18, column 22 lines 42-60. Wherein it would have been obvious to the skilled artisan at the time of the invention to modify the ferroelectric liquid crystal display device of Ulrich by producing a reflective ferroelectric display as suggested by Sato and Ogiwara et al., for the purpose of driving a display as taught by Ulrich, because Sato and Ogiwara suggest the device of Ulrich can be of the known reflective ferroelectric display having a silicone back plane, as found in claim 9.**

***Response to Arguments***



10. Applicant's arguments filed 10/2/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. As shown in figure 8 Ulrich et al. teaches of a waveform comprised of a complete frame having 2048 slots. Ulrich et al. further teaches of a selection period as referenced by the strobe pulse 88, within the complete frame having 2048 slots. Given the bit planes are equivalent to the subframes, Ulrich et al.'s frame slots read on the subframes or bit planes, and the control signal period reads of the Applicant reads on the select period of Ulrich et al... Rejection Maintained.

**Conclusion**

11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a). A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.
12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **David L. Lewis** whose telephone number is (703) 306-3026. The

examiner can normally be reached on MT and THF from 8 to 5. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bipin Shalwala, can be reached on (703) 305-4938. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

**Any response to this action should be mailed to:**

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks  
Washington, D.C. 20231


**or faxed to:**

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

---

  
SUNIL K. SHARMA  
RECEIVED  
JAN 11 2010